

DUANE MORRIS LLP

By: Anthony J. Costantini
Suzan Jo
Kevin P. Potere
1540 Broadway
New York, NY 10036-4086
Telephone: +1 212 692 1000
Fax: +1 212 692 1020
Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

TRINITY INVESTMENTS LIMITED,	:
	:
Plaintiff,	:
v.	: 14 Civ. 10016 (TPG)
THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,	:
	:
Defendant.	:
	:

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Pursuant to Rule 56(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff Trinity Investments Limited (“Trinity” or “Plaintiff”) respectfully moves for partial summary judgment on its claim that Argentina violated its contract with Trinity when it subordinated the rank of Trinity’s bonds to bonds issued to those who participated in the 2005 and 2010 Exchange Offers (the “Exchange Bondholders”) and when it made payments to the Exchange Bondholders and passed prohibitive legislation while refusing to make any payments to Trinity.

The movant, which is a non-judgment creditor, is making the present motion with respect to bonds issued pursuant to the 1994 Fiscal Agency Agreement (“FAA”), the very same agreement that was the subject of the Court’s prior decisions in *NML Capital, Ltd. v. Republic of*

Argentina, Case Nos. 08 Civ. 6978 (TPG), 09 Civ. 1707 (TPG), 09 Civ. 1708 (TPG) (S.D.N.Y.), affirmed by the Second Circuit in *NML Capital, Ltd. v. Republic of Argentina*, 699 F.3d 246 (2d Cir. 2012), *cert. denied*, 134 S. Ct. 201 (2013) and *NML Capital, Ltd. v. Republic of Argentina*, 727 F.3d 230 (2d Cir. 2013), *cert. denied*, 134 S. Ct. 2819 (2014).

In support of its Motion for Summary Judgment, Plaintiff joins in Section I of the Memorandum Of Law In Support Of The Motion By NML Capital, Ltd. For Partial Summary Judgment dated February 3, 2015 filed in *NML Capital, Ltd. v. Republic of Argentina*, 14 Civ. 8601 (TPG) (D.E. # 7) (pp. 16-27), since the movants therein also bought bonds issued pursuant to the 1994 FAA, and there is no need to inundate the Court with multiple memoranda of law making the same arguments. Plaintiff does not join in Section II of the Memorandum of Law because that section is inapplicable to non-judgment creditors such as Trinity.

Dated: New York, New York
February 26, 2015

DUANE MORRIS LLP

By: Anthony J. Costantini
Anthony J. Costantini
E-mail:ajcostantini@duanemorris.com
Suzan Jo
E-mail:sjo@duanemorris.com
Kevin P. Potere
Email:kpotere@duanemorris.com
1540 Broadway
New York, NY 10036-4086
Telephone: +1 212 692 1000
Fax: +1 212 692 1020

Attorneys for Plaintiff